



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,075	09/29/2000	Gregory J. Kostrzewsky	99RE055	2141
75	90 06/25/2004		EXAMINER	
John J. Horn			JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
	Allen-Bradley Company, L.L.C. Patent Dept./704p, Floor 8 T-29			PAPER NUMBER
1201 South Second Street			3682	
Milwaukee, Wl	53204-2496			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				91			
<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/677,075	KOSTRZEWSKY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William C. Joyce	3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period veron to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status							
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2004.  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-22,26 and 27 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠ -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat crity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3682

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed March 19, 2004 for the above identified patent application.

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "means for mounting a plurality of fans *within* the housing" (claim 23) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of

Application/Control Number: 09/677,075

Art Unit: 3682

any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added limitation "means for mounting a plurality of fans within the housing" (claim 23) does not appear in the original disclosure, and therefore it is considered new matter.
- 4. Claims 23 and 24, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Waldner, Jr. (US Patent 5,927,384) in view of Padgett et al. (US Patent 1,968,618).

Waldner discloses a differential gearbox comprising a conductive housing, driving axles (4) for transmitting torque to a pair of vehicle wheels, a bearing element (not shown) disposed within the housing for supporting the driving shafts, at least one motor driven fan (24) mounted to the housing, lubricating oil disposed in the housing for

Application/Control Number: 09/677,075

Art Unit: 3682

lubricating the gearbox components (not shown), a thermocouple (29) positioned adjacent one of the driving axles (4) and its respective bearing for determining a temperature of the oil in the housing, a controller (56) operatively connected to the thermocouple for operating the fan, wherein the fan is adapted to transfer heat from the bearing housing by forced convection. Referring to column 4, lines 52+, Waldner discloses "the thermocouple may be wired to an automatic or programmable fan control switch to provide an automatic or programmable fan control switch to provide automatic cooling when the lubricant reaches a preselected temperature level and automatic shutdown when the lubricant falls to a preselected temperature lever."

Waldner does not clearly disclose the internal structure of the differential, such as the positioning of the bearings or the positioning of an oil sump to provide oil to the bearings. However, it was notoriously well known in the art to configure a vehicle differential with an oil sump for lubricating bearings within the differential gearbox. For example, Padgett et al. illustrates the internal structure of a known differential having bearings (22) supplied with lubricating oil from a sump, wherein oil in the sump is picked up by the ring gear (45) and splashed onto the bearings (22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the gearbox of Waldner such that the lubricant from an oil sump is supplied to bearings of the differential, as taught by Padgett et al., in order to provide a reliable means for transmitting torque to a pair of vehicle wheels.

Waldner does not disclose the gear reduction housing having a pair of fans for transferring heat from the housing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two fans on the housing, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Further, it would have been obvious to an engineer in the art at the time the invention was made modify the device of Waldner by providing a second fan on the housing and a second adjustable control circuit to control the fan operation, in order to increase the heat transfer from the housing.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed March 19, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the prior art fails to teach "means for mounting a plurality of fans within the housing" (claim 23). Examiner agrees the fan of Waldner appears to be positioned outside the bearing housing, however this limitation is considered new matter, and therefore does not distinguish over the prior art reference.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-22 and 26-27 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/677,075 Page 6

Art Unit: 3682

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 305-5114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Goon 6/19/84 WILLIAM C. JOYCE PRIMARY EXAMINER